IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.39243 of 2008
ARUN SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
----

3. **3.12.2008** Heard Sri B.N.Sinha'Suman', Advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner's name does not figure as an accused in the FIR and after about two years of the incident the requisition was made by the police, the petitioner was also wanted in the case.

Paragraphs 109 and 110 there is a statement of two persons which indicate that as the petitioner demanded money so as to arrange the money of the kidnapped child.

The contention is that if it was like that the matter would have come into light earlier as well. The next contention was that the statements indicate as if the accused persons were demanding ransom money or had received part thereof and were demanding more payment but in that connection also the petitioner's name does not figure. Besides, there is no letter or telephonic tress in support of the allegation.

Let the above named petitioner be released from custody on furnishing bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in Sitamarhi P.S.Case No.66 of 2006.

(Dharnidhar Jha, J.)

B.Kr.

WEB COPY
NOT OFFICIAL